

## Replicant - Issue #729

### have you applied the patch for the emmc secure erase bug, aka "superbrick"?

12/08/2013 05:03 AM - Andrew Engelbrecht

<b>Status:</b>	Rejected	<b>Start date:</b>	12/08/2013
<b>Priority:</b>	Urgent	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Paul Kocialkowski	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	Framework	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	Any version	<b>Spent time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Resolution:</b>	invalid	<b>Grant:</b>	
<b>Device:</b>	Galaxy S 2 (I9100)	<b>Type of work:</b>	

#### Description

my phone has a chip potentially effected by this:

[http://wiki.cyanogenmod.org/w/EMMC\\_Bugs#Samsung\\_eMMC\\_secure\\_erase\\_bug](http://wiki.cyanogenmod.org/w/EMMC_Bugs#Samsung_eMMC_secure_erase_bug)

has the kernel patch been applied in replicant? for which version?

if you'd like, i could create a brief warning on the install page, like the one at the top, here: [http://wiki.cyanogenmod.org/w/I9100\\_Info](http://wiki.cyanogenmod.org/w/I9100_Info)

i'm currently investigating whether i'm having issues related to this... (i'm not currently using replicant, but i used to.) thanks!

#### History

##### #1 - 12/08/2013 07:29 PM - Paul Kocialkowski

- Status changed from New to Rejected
- Resolution set to invalid

I don't understand why you are opening a bug report to ask a question: this is not appropriate, use the forums instead!

The kernel versions we use are directly forked from CyanogenMod, so if CM included the patch, we should have it as well. You can check on our gitorious repos.

##### #2 - 01/17/2015 03:19 PM - Paul Kocialkowski

- Target version changed from 21 to Any version

##### #3 - 12/15/2015 01:06 PM - Denis 'GNUtoo' Carikli

- Category changed from 81 to Framework
- Device Galaxy S 2 (I9100) added